

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/018,667		HATTON ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Ba K. Trinh		1625	

All Participants:

(1) Ba K. Trinh.

(2) Ms Kristin Neuman.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: non-final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:00 PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


Authorization has been given to amend claims 2-10 so that the claimed invention is in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

BT 3/19/04

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/~~SPE~~ Signature)

N/A

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)